

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,013	09/05/2003	Subhasish Mitra	ITL.0971US (P16171) 8151		
21906	7590 05/14/2007		EXAMINER		
	ROAD, SUITE 750		CHUNG, PHUNG M		
HOUSTON, T	X 77057-2631		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2117		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			05/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,013	MITRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phung My Chung	2117				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed Tom the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	oril 2007					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
•						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		•.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		÷			
Application Papers						
_	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		o Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		,				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			D 1 121/d\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
TT/ The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached On	ice Action of form 1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• • •		Stage			
application from the International Bureau	·	ivea iii tiiis i tatioriai t	Jugo			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived				
	or the continue copies her roos					
•						
	•	,				
Attachment(s)	. <u></u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Information 6) Other:		•			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,013

Art Unit: 2117

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 1-3, 9-10, 14 and 19-20, the phrase "at the same time" is not clear at to what it meant, for example, claim 1, lines 2-3, "adding... at the same time" is not clear whether it's referred to "each circuit that can produce an unknown logic value at the same time" or "adding ... and each circuit can produce... at the same time"?

Appropriate correction is required.

As per claim 16, lines 2-3, "a plurality of exclusive OR gates arranged…unknown logic values" is not clear how these exclusive OR gates are arranged and how they handle any number of scan chains with unknown logic values. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claim 17, line 1, "that can handle any number of errors..." is not clear how it handle any number of error?

As per claims 4-8, 11-15, 18 and 21-25, these claims are also rejected because they dependent upon the rejected base claims.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-3, 9-11, 14, 17 and 19-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 10/656,013

Art Unit: 2117

As per claims 1-3, 9-11 and 20, line 2, claims 10, 14 and 19, line 3 and claim 17, line 1, "can" a positive term should be used. Appropriate correction is required.

As per claim 9, line 1, "the process" should be changed to - - a process --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitra et al ("An efficient Response Compaction Techque for Test Cost Reduction" IEEE 04/2002, paper 11.2, pages 311-320).

As per claim 16, Mitra et al disclose a response compactor, comprising:

A plurality of exclusive OR gates arranged to handle any unknown logic values. (See pg. 318, section 3.4. Testing the Compactor Circuit and pg. 320, section 6. Conclusions).

As per claims 17-18, Mitra et al further disclose that the compactor can handle any number of errors in the same scan cycle (pg. 320, section 6. Conclusions).

As per claims 1-8, 9-15 and 19-25, these method claims are rejected under similar rationale as set forth in claims 16-18. (See pg. 313, col. 2, pg. 314, col. 1, paragraph 7, pg. 318, section 3.4. Testing the Compactor Circuit, and pg. 320, section 6. Conclusions).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/656,013

Art Unit: 2117

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-jacques can be reached on 571-272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phung My Chung

Primary Rate at Examiner

Art Unit 2117